

An orbifold partition of $\overline{M}_g^n$

Martin Pikaart

Abstract

We define a partition of $\overline{M}_g^n$ and show that the cohomology of $\overline{M}_g^n$ in a given degree admits a filtration whose respective quotients are isomorphic to the shifted cohomology groups of the parts if g is sufficiently large. This implies that the map $H^k(\overline{M}_g^n) \rightarrow H^k(M_g^n)$ is onto and that the Hodge structure of $H^k(M_g^n)$ is pure if $g \geq 2k + 1$. The main ingredient is the Stability Theorem of Harer and Ivanov.

1 Introduction

The moduli space of smooth n -pointed complex curves of genus g is a quasi-projective orbifold M_g^n of dimension $3g - 3 + n$ (where as usual, we assume that $2g - 2 + n > 0$). It is compactified by the moduli space of stable pointed curves, $\overline{M}_g^n$, which is a projective orbifold. We will write M_g and $\overline{M}_g$ if $n = 0$.

We need some terminology in order to state our main result. Let X be an irreducible orbifold. An *orbifold partition* of X is a finite filtration by closed subvarieties

$$X_\bullet := (X = X_0 \supset X_1 \supset \cdots \supset X_{m+1} = \emptyset),$$

such that $Y_\alpha := X_\alpha \setminus X_{\alpha+1}$ is an orbifold. If $\dim Y_\alpha > \dim Y_{\alpha+1}$ this is called a *stratification*. A connected component of a Y_α is called a *part* (respectively a *stratum*). For example, a stratification of $\overline{M}_g^n$ is defined by the subvarieties $X_i := \{\text{curves with at least } i \text{ singularities}\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$; the strata are just the loci that parametrize stable pointed curves of a fixed topological type. We will therefore refer to this as the *stratification of $\overline{M}_g^n$ by topological type*. A simple example of an orbifold partition (of $\mathbf{C}^2$) that is not a stratification is given by $\mathbf{C}^2 \supset L_1 \cup L_2 \supset L_1$, where L_i is the i th coordinate axis; the parts are $\mathbf{C}^2 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2)$, $L_2 \setminus \{0\}$ and L_1 .

Let us say that an orbifold partition $X_\bullet$ of X *filters cohomology up to degree k* if the Gysin map

$$H^{j-2\operatorname{codim} Y_\alpha}(Y_\alpha)(-\operatorname{codim} Y_\alpha) \rightarrow H^j(X \setminus X_{\alpha+1})$$

is injective for all $j \leq k$ and all α . (Here and throughout this paper cohomology is taken with rational coefficients.) For $2\operatorname{codim} Y_\alpha > k$ this condition is empty. Thus, if one is only interested in a partition filtering cohomology up to degree k , it suffices to consider $X_0 \supset \cdots \supset X_{\alpha+1}$ such

that $X_{\alpha+1}$ has codimension at least $\frac{1}{2}k$. It is easily seen that if a partition filters cohomology up to degree k there exists a filtration on $H^j(X)$ for $j \leq k$, such that there is a canonical morphism of mixed Hodge structures which maps the respective quotients isomorphically onto the groups $H^{j-2\text{codim}Y_\alpha}(Y_\alpha)(-\text{codim}Y_\alpha)$ for $j < k$ and all α . We can now formulate our main result.

Corollary 4.5 *Given $k \geq 0$, then for g large enough, $\overline{M}_g^n$ admits an orbifold partition which has M_g^n as its open part, is coarser than the stratification by topological type and filters cohomology up to degree k .*

We shall see that the stratification by topological type does not have this property. We deduce from the main result the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6 *If $g \geq 2k + 1$, then the restriction map $H^k(\overline{M}_g^n) \rightarrow H^k(M_g^n)$ is surjective; consequently the mixed Hodge structure on $H^k(M_g^n)$ is pure of weight k .*

Corollary 4.5 enables us to define the “stable cohomology” of $\overline{M}_g^n$ as g goes to infinity and n is fixed. This stable cohomology is not finitely generated. For example, if $n = 0$, the generators in degree 2 are the tautological class and the boundary classes, naturally indexed by $0, 1, 2, \dots$

Using additional properties of the partition that we construct we prove that for any $n \geq 0$ the cohomology of $\overline{M}_g^n$ is not of Tate type if g is sufficiently large.

Let us sketch the proof of corollary 4.5 and give the motivation for the partition we define. (See Sections 2 and 4 for details.) We take $n = 0$ for simplicity. Let D_0 be the locus in $\overline{M}_g$ parametrizing irreducible curves with one node and D_1 the locus in $\overline{M}_g$ parametrizing curves with one smooth component of genus $g-1$ and one of genus 1, joined in one point. Consider the maps $f_i : H^{l-2}(D_i) \rightarrow H^l(D_i)$ for $i = 0$ or 1 , given by taking the cup product with the first Chern class of the normal bundle of D_i . The normal direction of D_i corresponds to smoothening the unique singular point. Notice that f_i can be obtained as the composite of the Gysin map $H^{l-2}(D_i)(-1) \rightarrow H^l(M_g \cup D_i)$ and the obvious restriction map. The unique singular point lies in both cases on at least one local component of high genus. As we shall see in Section 4, this will imply that the f_i are injective in low degree and a fortiori the Gysin maps are injective.

However, this does not carry over to codimension 2. Let D_{01} be the locus in $\overline{M}_g$ parametrizing curves with two nodes and two components, one smooth component of genus $g-1$ and one singular component of geometric genus 0. Clearly one of the nodes lies on two local components of genus 0. As we shall see, this implies that $H^{l-4}(D_{01})(-2) \rightarrow H^l(D_{01})$ is not injective (in fact zero).

A way to restore injectivity is by coarsening the partition. If we let D be the union of D_1 and D_{01} , then the normal direction of D corresponds to smoothening the singular point on the component of genus $g-1$ and we get

an injective map as we wanted. Smoothing the singularity on the genus 0 component has become a direction along the part.

It will turn out that we can define the partition completely in terms of graphs and certain subgraphs. Our parts will be (irreducible) unions of strata of the stratification by topological type; locally they are like the parts in the given example of a non-stratification of $\mathbf{C}^2$. The unique open dense stratum of the stratification by topological type in a given part will be referred to as its *generic* stratum. For every part, its generic stratum will have a graph with the property that every edge corresponds to a singularity lying on at least one local component of high genus.

Unfortunately, I was unable to define such a subgraph canonically; Definition 3.16 involves the choice of the number α . The point is that smoothing many singularities lying on low genus components may yield a component of high genus. See Remark 3.19 for further comments.

In Section 2 we prove the existence of an orbifold partition using only some formal combinatorial properties of graphs. In Section 3 we define weak subgraphs and prove the combinatorial properties needed in the previous section. Section 4 contains the results.

I thank Eduard Looijenga for suggesting the problem to me and for many helpful conversations. I am grateful to the referee for useful comments.

2 Stable graphs and the orbifold partition

All graphs considered in this paper are weighted, that is, each vertex has a non-negative integer associated to it. For a stable n -pointed curve C , we define its *stable graph* as follows. Each irreducible component of C defines a vertex, the weight of the vertex being the genus of the normalisation of the component. Omitting from the normalisation of such an irreducible component the inverse images of the singular and marked points on C yields a smooth projective curve minus a finite number of points. For each missing point we draw a half edge emanating from the vertex defined by that connected component and for every singular point on C we join the two half edges associated to it to obtain a whole edge. We omit the word whole if it is clear that we consider a whole edge. The remaining half edges, which correspond to the n marked points on the curve, will be called *loose half edges*. Thus, unless we specify, a half edge can be either half of a whole edge or a loose half edge.

We define a *stable graph* (n -pointed of genus g) as the stable graph of some stable n -pointed curve of genus g . An automorphism of a stable graph is an automorphism of the underlying unweighted graph preserving the weights.

Given a stable graph one can recover a topological model for its stable n -pointed curve by taking one curve for every vertex with genus equal to the weight of the vertex, omitting small open discs for every half edge emanating

from that vertex, glueing the appropriate boundaries and contracting them. Notice that if we had incorporated the ordering of the n points in the definition of a stable graph, then our graphs would correspond bijectively to strata of the stratification by topological type.

A *subgraph* is a subset of a stable graph with the property that if it contains a half edge it contains its unique vertex. By a *full subgraph* we mean a subgraph which contains every half edge emanating from one of its vertices. A connected component of a full subgraph is a stable graph. In the same way as above, one can associate to a connected component of a full subgraph a topological model of a curve. We define the *genus* of a connected component of a full subgraph as the arithmetic genus of the curve associated to that connected component. The *genus* of a full subgraph is defined as the sum of the genera of its connected components. In other words, the genus of a full subgraph equals the first Betti number of that full subgraph plus the sum of the weights taken over the vertices in the full subgraph.

We denote the greatest integer less than or equal to a real number a by $[a]$. Fix k and let $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$ be the set of (isomorphism classes of) stable graphs, n -pointed of genus g and at most $[k/2 + 1]$ whole edges. If there is no chance of confusion, we write $\mathcal{G}$ for $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$. (For the reason we only consider graphs with this many edges, see the remark made in the introduction following the definition of a orbifold partition which filters cohomology.) The maximal number of vertices for a graph in $\mathcal{G}$ is $[k/2 + 1] + 1$. From now on we will only consider graphs in $\mathcal{G}$.

We may regard a stable graph as a one dimensional topological space. If e is a whole edge, we denote the contraction of e by $\pi_e : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/e$ and the image of its end vertices by $\pi_e(e)$. We make Γ/e into a weighted graph as follows. If p is a vertex of Γ not incident with e , then the weight of $\pi_e(p)$ is that of p . The weight of $\pi_e(e)$ is defined as the sum of the weights of the two end vertices if e is not a loop and the weight of its unique end vertex plus one otherwise. Clearly this makes Γ/e into an element of $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$, corresponding to the stable curve obtained by smoothening the singularity corresponding to the edge e . If Δ is a full subgraph of Γ , we denote the quotient of Γ obtained by contracting all whole edges of Δ by Γ/Δ . The image of a full subgraph under π_e is full, provided that the contracted edge has either both or neither of its end vertices in that full subgraph.

The permutation group on n elements, S_n , acts on $\overline{M}_g^n$ fixing the locus of points parametrizing curves with at least a fixed number of singularities. Such loci define the stratification by topological type, see introduction. Thus S_n acts on the stratification by topological type. The orbits of the S_n -action on the stratification by topological type of $\overline{M}_g^n$ minus loci of codimension at least $[k/2 + 1] + 1$ are in one to one correspondence with the elements of $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$. Giving a partition of the first set is therefore equivalent to giving a partition of $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$.

This, in turn, corresponds to a function

$$\phi : \mathcal{G}_k(g, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_k(g, n),$$

as follows. Recall that we want the parts we seek to be irreducible unions of strata of the stratification by topological type. A graph will be mapped to the graph of the generic stratum of the part it will belong to. (Compare the example in the introduction: the graph of Δ_{01} is mapped to the graph of Δ_1 .) This implies that ϕ contracts a certain subgraph.

So we have to assign to every graph in $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$ a subgraph in such a way that the function ϕ , defined as contraction of that subgraph, corresponds to an orbifold partition. One important ingredient we need to obtain an orbifold partition is a partial order on the image of ϕ , such that the union of all parts greater than or equal to a given part will be a Zariski open neighbourhood of that part. Now we will state the formal properties of the graphs needed to obtain an orbifold partition- which will turn out to filter cohomology.

Definition 2.1 *Suppose we are given, for every Γ in $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$, a subgraph Γ_W . Define $\phi : \mathcal{G}_k(g, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$ by $\phi(\Gamma) = \Gamma/\Gamma_W$. Denote the image of ϕ by I . For Γ in I define S_Γ^0 to be the locus in $\overline{M}_g^n$ whose points correspond to stable n -pointed curves with graph Γ . Define S_Γ (respectively, S) to be the locus in $\overline{M}_g^n$ whose points correspond to stable n -pointed curves with graph in $\phi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{G}$).*

If C is a curve with graph Γ , then we will call the irreducible components of C corresponding to vertices in Γ_W *weak components*. Analogously we define *strong components*.

Proposition 2.2 *Notations as in the previous definition. Suppose a partial order is given on I , such that the following properties hold:*

- a. Γ_W is a proper full subgraph of Γ which is invariant under the automorphism group of the stable graph Γ ;*
- b. if e is a whole edge of Γ not contained in Γ_W , then $\Gamma/e > \Gamma$;*
- c. if e is a whole edge contained in Γ_W , then the image of Γ_W under contraction of e is $(\Gamma/e)_W$;*
- d. a whole edge e is contained in Γ_W if and only if $\pi_e(e)$ is contained in $(\Gamma/e)_W$;*
- e. if $k = 1$ (respectively $k \geq 2$) and p a vertex of Γ such that the weight of p is 0 (respectively the weight of p is at most $k + 2$), then Γ_W contains the vertex p .*

Then the following hold :

1. $S_{\max(I)} = M_g^n$;
2. for all $\Gamma \in I$: (the connected components of) S_Γ and S_Γ^0 are orbifolds; the generic curve C_Γ has graph Γ ;
3. for all $\Gamma \in I$: $\cup_{\Delta \geq \Gamma} S_\Delta$ is a Zariski open neighbourhood of S_Γ ;
4. the (connected components of the) S_Γ are parts of an orbifold partition;
5. for all $\Gamma \in I$: the strong (respectively, weak) components of C_Γ do not (respectively, do) degenerate in S_Γ ;
6. for all $\Gamma \in I$: every singular point of C_Γ lies on at least one strong component of C_Γ ;
7. for all $\Gamma \in I$: if C' is a strong component of C_Γ and $k = 1$ (respectively $k \geq 2$) then the genus of C' is at least 1 (respectively at least $k + 3$).

Proof. 1. Notice that by property *a*, Γ_W is a proper full subgraph, which means that Γ/Γ_W has no whole edges if and only if Γ has no whole edges. By property *b*, the stable graph of a smooth n -pointed curve is larger than every other graph; consequently, $S_{\max(I)} = M_g^n$.

2. We already remarked that (a connected component of) S_Γ^0 is an orbifold, namely it is a stratum of the stratification by topological type. By the definition of ϕ we have that S_Γ is contained in the closure of S_Γ^0 . So it suffices to prove that it is locally closed and without self intersection. It follows from property *c* that S_Γ is locally closed. Suppose S_Γ has self intersection; this is necessarily a normal crossing. Let Δ be the graph corresponding to the generic locus of self intersection of S_Γ and suppose for simplicity that there are two local branches of S_Γ . Thus, locally in a neighbourhood of S_Δ , there is an involution permuting the two branches of S_Γ . This involution induces an involution i on Δ which keeps Δ_W fixed but not pointwise fixed. If e is an edge of Δ_W such that Δ/e is the graph of one of the local branches, then $\Delta/i(e)$ is the (isomorphic) graph of the other local branch. But by property *a*, Δ_W contains both e and $i(e)$, so S_Γ contains the plane spanned by the two local branches, contradiction.

3. This follows directly from statement 2 and property *b*.

4. Choose an order reversing injective map $m : I \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ and define $X_i := \cup_{m(\Gamma) \leq i} S_\Gamma$. The X_i form a filtration which defines an orbifold partition by statements 2 and 3 whose parts are the S_Γ .

5. Follows from property *d*.

6. By property *c*, we have that the image of ϕ equals the fix point set of ϕ , which means that for Γ in the image of ϕ , every whole edge of Γ has at least one end vertex not in Γ_W .

7. This is clear from property *e*. □

Now we have reduced the problem of finding a partition to a purely combinatorial one, which will be dealt with in the next section.

3 Stable graphs, weak subgraphs

We will now start out to define a full subgraph Γ_W for every Γ in $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$ and prove the properties a,b,c,d and e of Proposition 2.2.

For any full subgraph Δ of a stable graph Γ , we denote its number of vertices respectively its genus by $v(\Delta)$ resp. $g(\Delta)$. We denote the weight of a vertex P by $w(P)$. Let $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be given. Let $\Phi_k : \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a function satisfying

$$2\Phi_k(n) + \left[\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right] \leq \Phi_k(n-1), \text{ if } n > 0.$$

In particular, it is a decreasing function.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $k \geq 0$ be given. Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be full subgraphs of a stable graph Γ . Let Δ be the full subgraph on the vertices of Δ_1 and Δ_2 . If $g(\Delta_i) \leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Delta_i))$ for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, then also $g(\Delta) \leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Delta))$.*

Proof. We may suppose $v(\Delta_1) \geq 1$ and $v(\Delta_1) \geq v(\Delta_2)$. The statement is trivial if Δ_2 is contained in Δ_1 , so we may assume that this is not the case. Thus $v(\Delta) > v(\Delta_1)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Delta) &\leq g(\Delta_1) + g(\Delta_2) + \left[\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right] \leq 2\Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Delta_1)) + \left[\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right] \\ &\leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Delta)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Here and in the next proposition the term $\left[\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right]$ comes from the fact that we take a *full* subgraph; compare the formula for the genus of a full subgraph given in Section 2.

Definition 3.2 *A full subgraph Δ of a stable graph Γ is called Φ_k -weak if $g(\Delta) \leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Delta))$.*

Notice that this definition only depends on k and not on g . By the proposition above the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph is well defined.

Definition 3.3 *Denote the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph of Γ by Γ_W . A vertex is called strong if it is contained in the complement of Γ_W . Denote by Γ_S maximal full subgraph on the strong vertices.*

Not every full subgraph of the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph is Φ_k -weak, see the example following Proposition 3.18. Therefore we don't use the term Φ_k -weak vertex.

Proposition 3.4 *If $g > \Phi_k(0)$, then for every Γ in $\mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$ we have that Γ_W is a proper full subgraph which is invariant under the automorphisms of the stable graph Γ .*

Proof. By definition, Γ_W is full. It is a proper subgraph because $g(\Gamma) > \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Gamma))$ and thus Γ is not Φ_k -weak. Invariance under automorphisms follows because both the genus and the number of vertices are kept fixed by automorphisms of the stable graph. $\square$

Recall that if e is a whole edge of Γ , we write π_e for the contraction of e and $\pi_e(e)$ for the image of its end vertices. The inverse image of a full subgraph under π_e is full. For e a whole edge of Γ , we put $\delta(e) := 0$ if e is a loop, $\delta(e) := 1$ otherwise.

Proposition 3.5 *If e is a whole edge contained in Γ_W or in its complement, then we have $\pi_e(\Gamma_W) \subset (\Gamma/e)_W$.*

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\pi_e(\Gamma_W)) &= g(\Gamma_W) \leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Gamma_W)) = \\ &\begin{cases} \Phi_k((v(\Gamma/e) + \delta(e) - v(\pi_e(\Gamma_W)))) & e \text{ outside } \Gamma_W \\ \Phi_k(v(\Gamma/e) + \delta(e) - [v(\pi_e(\Gamma_W)) + \delta(e)]) & e \text{ contained in } \Gamma_W \end{cases} \\ &\leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma/e) - v(\pi_e(\Gamma_W))). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.6 *If e is a whole edge contained in Γ_W , then the image of Γ_W under contraction of e is $(\Gamma/e)_W$.*

Proof. Proposition 3.5 yields us one inclusion. Put $\Delta := \pi_e^{-1}((\Gamma/e)_W)$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Delta) &= g((\Gamma/e)_W) \leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma/e) - v((\Gamma/e)_W)) \\ &= \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - \delta(e) - [v(\Delta) - \delta(e)]) = \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Delta)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.7 *A whole edge e is contained in Γ_W if and only if $\pi_e(e)$ is contained in $(\Gamma/e)_W$.*

Proof. The implication " $\Rightarrow$ " follows from Proposition 3.6. For the other implication, suppose $(\Gamma/e)_W$ contains $\pi_e(e)$. Put $\Delta := \pi_e^{-1}((\Gamma/e)_W)$, then the same computation as in the proof of Proposition 3.6 implies that Δ is Φ_k -weak and therefore contained in Γ_W . Consequently, Γ_W contains e . $\square$

Definition 3.8 *Define a function $\phi_k(g, n) : \mathcal{G}_k(g, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_k(g, n)$ by $\phi_k(\Gamma) = \Gamma/\Gamma_W$. When there is no chance of confusion, we will write ϕ instead of ϕ_k .*

We call ϕ contraction of the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph. It is clear that we have: Γ is contained in $Im(\phi)$ if and only if Γ_W does not contain any whole edges. It follows that the image of ϕ equals the fix point set of ϕ . Put $I_k(g, n) := Im(\phi_k(g, n))$; we will write I instead of $I_k(g, n)$ if there is no chance of confusion. The set I will be the index set for our orbifold partition. Before we can define a partial order on I , we need two more propositions.

Proposition 3.9 *If P is a vertex of Γ and $w(P) \leq \max\{w(Q)\}$, where Q runs over the vertices of Γ_W , then Γ_W contains P .*

Proof. Suppose not, let Δ be the full subgraph on P and Γ_W . We have :

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Delta) &\leq g(\Gamma_W) + g(P) + [\frac{1}{2}k + 1] \leq 2g(\Gamma_W) + [\frac{1}{2}k + 1] \\ &\leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - (v(\Gamma_W) + 1)) = \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Delta)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.10 *Let e be an edge which joins Γ_S and Γ_W . Let Λ be the full subgraph of Γ/e on the vertices of $\pi_e(\Gamma_W) - \pi_e(e)$. Then Λ is Φ_k -weak.*

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Lambda) &\leq g(\Gamma_W) \leq \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - v(\Gamma_W)) = \Phi_k(v(\Gamma) - [v(\Lambda) + 1]) \\ &= \Phi_k(v(\Gamma/e) - v(\Lambda)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Definition 3.11 *Let Γ be in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $s(\Gamma)$ be the number of strong vertices. Define $\{w_i(\Gamma)\}_{i=1}^{s(\Gamma)}$ to be the set of weights of strong vertices, ordered such that $w_i(\Gamma) \geq w_{i+1}(\Gamma)$. For $i \in \{s(\Gamma) + 1, \dots, [k/2 + 1] + 1\}$, define $w_i(\Gamma) := g$. Let $n(\Gamma)$ be the number of half edges parting from strong vertices. Finally, define $\text{index}(\Gamma)$ of a graph Γ to be the vector $(w_1(\Gamma), \dots, w_{[k/2+1]+1}(\Gamma), n(\Gamma))$.*

Notation: $\text{index}(\Gamma) > \text{index}(\Delta)$ refers to lexicographical ordering.

Proposition 3.12 *a) If e is a whole edge of Γ not contained in Γ_W , then $\text{index}(\Gamma/e) > \text{index}(\Gamma)$.*

b) If e is a whole edge contained in Γ_W , then $\text{index}(\Gamma/e) = \text{index}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. a) Suppose first that neither of the end vertices of e is in Γ_W and suppose that $\text{index}(\Gamma) = (\dots, w_i, \dots, w_j, \dots)$. If e is a loop and its end vertex has weight w_i , then by Propositions 3.9 and 3.7 we have $\text{index}(\Gamma/e) = (\dots, w_i + 1, \dots) > \text{index}(\Gamma)$. If e is not a loop and its end vertices have weights w_i and w_j , then $\text{index}(\Gamma/e) = (\dots, w_i + w_j, \dots) > \text{index}(\Gamma)$.

Secondly suppose e has end vertices $P \in \Gamma_S$ and $Q \in \Gamma_W$. By Proposition 3.10 we have $s(\Gamma) \geq s(\Gamma/e)$. If $s(\Gamma) > s(\Gamma/e)$, then we are done. If $s(\Gamma) =$

$s(\Gamma/e)$ and $w(Q) > 0$ the argument above applies. If $s(\Gamma) = s(\Gamma/e)$ and $w(Q) = 0$, then the first $[k/2 + 1] + 1$ coefficients of both indices are equal. We define the following numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \#\{\text{edges joining } P \text{ and } Q\}, \\ b &:= \#\{\text{loops at } Q\}, \\ c &:= \#\{\text{edges joining } Q \text{ and another vertex in } \Gamma_W\}, \\ d &:= \#\{\text{edges joining } Q \text{ and a vertex in } \Gamma_S \text{ not equal to } P\}, \\ f &:= \#\{\text{loose half edges at } Q\}. \end{aligned}$$

One sees easily that $n(\Gamma/e) = n(\Gamma) + a + 2b + c + d + f - 2$. Stability of the vertex Q implies $a + 2b + c + d + f \geq 3$, so we have $\text{index}(\Gamma/e) > \text{index}(\Gamma)$.
b) Follows immediately from Proposition 3.6. $\square$

Corollary 3.13 *The index is preserved under contraction of the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph.*

Definition 3.14 *We define a partial order on I as follows: $\Gamma \geq \Delta$ if and only if $\text{index}(\Gamma) > \text{index}(\Delta)$ or $\Gamma = \Delta$.*

Corollary 3.15 *If e is a whole edge of Γ not contained in Γ_W , then $\Gamma/e > \Gamma$.*

Now we are almost ready to prove the remaining property e of Proposition 2.2. We do this by making a suitable choice for Φ_k . For a given k , define $\alpha := 1/[k/2 + 1] + 2$ and $\beta := [k/2 + 1]^2 \alpha^{[k/2+1]+1}$. We fix α and β for the rest of this paper.

Definition 3.16 *Define $\Phi_k : \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $\Phi_k(n) := \alpha^{-n} \beta$.*

An easy calculation yields that

$$2\Phi_k(n) + \left[\frac{1}{2}k + 1\right] \leq \Phi_k(n-1), \text{ if } n > 0.$$

Proposition 3.17 *If $k = 1$ (respectively $k \geq 2$) and P a vertex of Γ such that the weight of P is 0 (respectively the weight of P is at most $k + 2$), then Γ_W contains the vertex P .*

Proof. Let l be the number of loops at the vertex P . One has to check that $0 + l \leq \Phi_k([k/2 + 1] - l)$ (respectively $k + 2 + l \leq \phi_k([k/2 + 1] - l)$), which is an easy computation. $\square$

Proposition 3.18 *Notations as above. Let k be given, and let g be at least β . Define Φ_k as in Definition 3.16. Define ϕ and I as in Definition 3.8. Let a partial order on I be defined by Definition 3.14. Then the properties a, b, c, d and e of Proposition 2.2 hold.*

Proof. The properties a, b, c, d and e are precisely the Propositions 3.4, 3.15, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.17. $\square$

Not every full subgraph of the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph is Φ_k -weak. Consider the following example: $k = 9$, so $[k/2 + 1] = 5$ and $\alpha = 11/5$. We have $\beta = 11^6/5^4 \approx 2834$ and thus we have to take $g > 2834$. Let Γ be the graph with vertices $p_1, \dots, p_6$ and edges $e_1, \dots, e_5$ such that e_i joins p_i and p_{i+1} . Suppose $w(p_1) = w(p_2) = 11$, $w(p_3) = 22$, $w(p_4) = 44$, $w(p_5) = 88$ and $w(p_6) = g - 176$. One can check that the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph is the full subgraph on the vertices $p_1, \dots, p_5$, but the full subgraph on the vertex p_5 is not Φ_k -weak.

Remark 3.19 If one is willing to consider graphs of infinite genus, i.e. several vertices can have infinite genus, then a canonical definition of weak subgraph is readily available: just take the full subgraph on the vertices of finite genus. (The definition of index needs to be adapted.) Properties analogous to those of the previous propositions, and in some cases even stronger results, can be proved.

4 The results

We keep the notations and assumptions of Proposition 3.18, unless the contrary is explicitly stated.

As we have seen in Section 2, a connected component of the S_Γ^0 is a stratum of the stratification by topological type; it is an orbifold of codimension equal to the number of singular points of its topological model which we will denote by C_Γ . In fact, a stratum is isomorphic to a product of lower dimensional moduli spaces M_h^m modulo a finite group. Recall that the symmetric group on n elements acts transitively on the connected components of the S_Γ^0 . Furthermore, the orbifold normal bundle of S_Γ^0 has fibre over $(C, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ isomorphic to $\oplus (T_x C' \otimes T_x C'')$, where the sums runs over the singular points of C and C' , C'' are the local components of C in a suitable neighbourhood of x . This isomorphism globalizes to an isomorphism of bundles on S_Γ^0 .

It follows from Proposition 2.2 that the orbifold normal bundle of S_Γ has fibre over $(C, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ isomorphic to $\oplus (T_x C' \otimes T_x C'')$. Here the sums runs over the singular points of C which are specializations of singular points on the topological model of S_Γ^0 and C' , C'' are the local components of C in a suitable neighbourhood of x . Thus, the sums runs over the edges of Γ .

Notice that $S = \cup_{\Gamma \in I} S_\Gamma$ and that the real codimension of the complement of S in $\overline{M}_g^n$ is larger than k .

Before going on we explain the Stability Theorem of Harer and Ivanov in an algebro-geometric way. These theorems are essential in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Let S be the locus in $\overline{M}_{g+1}$ of irreducible stable curves of genus g with one singularity; S is a stratum of the stratification by topological type, it is isomorphic to M_g^2 modulo the involution permuting the two points. There is a map $p : S \rightarrow M_g$ which forgets the two points. Let $i : U_S \hookrightarrow \overline{M}_{g+1}$ be the inclusion of a suitable C^∞ tubular neighbourhood of S and let $\pi : U_S \rightarrow S$ be the natural retraction. Consider the diagram

$$M_{g+1} \xleftarrow{i} U_S \setminus S \xrightarrow{p \circ \pi} M_g.$$

The Stability Theorem of Harer and Ivanov now says that if $g \geq 2k + 1$, then both maps induce isomorphisms on cohomology in degree up to k (see [Harer1],[Ivanov]). Furthermore $H^0(M_g) \cong \mathbf{Q}$ for $g \geq 0$ and $H^1(M_g) \cong 0$ for $g \geq 1$, see [Harer2, Ch. 7]. These facts account for the conditions of property e of Proposition 2.2.

Hence we can define the k th stable cohomology group $H^k(M_\infty)$ of the moduli space by $H^k(M_\infty) := H^k(M_g)$ when $g \geq 2k + 1$. If $g \geq 2k + 1$ (respectively $g \geq 0$ if $k = 0$, respectively $g > 0$ if $k = 1$), we say g is in the stable range with respect to k . Moreover i^* and $(p \circ \pi)^*$ are morphisms of mixed Hodge structures, so $H^k(M_\infty)$ carries a well-defined mixed Hodge structure.

Remark 4.1 In [Mumford] classes $\kappa_i \in H^{i,i}(M_\infty)$ are constructed and in [Miller] it is proved that the symmetric algebra on these classes injects into $H^\bullet(M_\infty)$. Mumford conjectures that this is actually an isomorphism in low degree, see [Mumford, Introduction]. The conjecture would imply our corollary 4.6.

We need a corollary of Harer's results. Assigning to a pointed curve the tangent space at its i th point defines a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i$ on $\overline{M}_g^n$. Consider the natural forgetful map $M_g^n \rightarrow M_g$. Define $H^\bullet(M_g)[u_1, \dots, u_n] \rightarrow H^\bullet(M_g^n)$, where the u_i have degree 2, by sending u_i to the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$. Then we have (see [Looijenga]): this is an isomorphism up to degree k if $g \geq 2k + 1$.

Theorem 4.2 *Let k be given. If $g > \beta$, then the partition $S = \cup_{\Gamma \in I} S_\Gamma$ is coarser than the stratification by topological type, has $S_{\max(I)} = M_g^n$ and filters cohomology up to degree k .*

Proof. We have already seen in Proposition 2.2, properties 1 and 4, that the S_Γ form a partition which has M_g^n as open part and is coarser than the stratification by topological type. So it remains to show that for all $\Gamma \in I$ and all $l < k$, the Gysin maps on cohomology $H^{l-2\text{codim} S_\Gamma}(S_\Gamma)(-\text{codim} S_\Gamma) \rightarrow$

$H^l(\cup_{\Delta \geq \Gamma} S_\Delta)$ induced by the inclusions $S_\Gamma \rightarrow \cup_{\Delta \geq \Gamma} S_\Delta$ are injections. When we write property x we mean property x of Proposition 2.2.

The orbifold S_Γ^0 is the quotient of

$$\prod M_{g_s}^{n_s} \times \prod M_{g_w}^{n_w}$$

by a finite group. Here the first product runs over the strong vertices of Γ and the second over those which are not strong. Because of properties 2 and 5 we have that S_Γ is contained in the quotient of

$$\prod M_{g_s}^{n_s} \times \prod \overline{M_{g_w}^{n_w}}$$

by a finite group, where again the products run over the vertices which are strong respectively not strong.

Property 7 implies that the g_a are in the stable range w.r.t. $[k/2 + 1]$. By the result of Looijenga mentioned above we get :

$$H^i(M_{g_s}^{n_s}) \cong \text{degree } i \text{ part of } H^i(M_\infty)[u_1, \dots, u_{n_s}], \quad (1)$$

where the u_i have degree 2.

We have seen that the normal bundle of S_Γ splits as a direct sum of line bundles, and thus its top Chern class becomes the product of the first Chern classes of these line bundles. We claim that these first Chern classes are all of the form $u_i + u_j$ or $u_i + a$, where the u_i are as in (1) and a is an element of $H^*(\overline{M_{g_w}^{n_w}})$. We postpone the proof of the claim for a moment.

By properties 2 and 3, S_Γ is a closed suborbifold of $\cup_{\Delta \geq \Gamma} S_\Delta$. Consider the Gysin sequence for the inclusion S_Γ in $\cup_{\Delta \geq \Gamma} S_\Delta$:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{l-2\text{codim}S_\Gamma}(S_\Gamma)(-\text{codim}S_\Gamma) \rightarrow H^l(\cup_{\Delta \geq \Gamma} S_\Delta) \rightarrow H^l(\cup_{\Delta > \Gamma} S_\Delta) \rightarrow \dots$$

Composing $H^{l-2\text{codim}S_\Gamma}(S_\Gamma)(-\text{codim}S_\Gamma) \rightarrow H^l(\cup_{\Delta \geq \Gamma} S_\Delta)$ with the restriction morphism to $H^l(S_\Gamma)$ we get a morphism $H^{l-2\text{codim}S_\Gamma}(S_\Gamma)(-\text{codim}S_\Gamma) \rightarrow H^l(S_\Gamma)$ which is given by taking the cup product with the top Chern class of the normal bundle of S_Γ . From what we have said above it follows that cupping with this Chern class is injective up to degree k . A fortiori the Gysin maps are injective.

It remains to prove the claim. As explained, the line bundles of which we are taking the first Chern classes correspond to whole edges of the graph Γ . Let f be a whole edge and let P and Q be its end vertices (which possibly coincide). The line bundle under consideration is the tensor product of the two line bundles corresponding to P and half of f respectively to Q and the other half of f . By property 6 we have that either P and Q are both strong vertices or precisely one of them is a strong vertex. In the first case the first Chern classes of both line bundles are of the form u_i and so we get $u_i + u_j$ as first Chern class of the tensor product. In the second case we get $u_i + a$ for some element a of $H^*(\overline{M_{g_w}^{n_w}})$. This proves the claim. $\square$

Remark 4.3 The parts S_Γ depend upon the definition of Φ_k -weak subgraphs, which depends upon α , which in turn depends upon k . This implies that the filtration in Theorem 4.2 depends upon k . In this remark we will write α_k and β_k to stress dependence.

There is a natural inclusion $i : \mathcal{G}_k(g, n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k+1}(g, n)$ which is a bijection if k is even. We claim that $i(\Gamma_W) \subset (i(\Gamma))_W$. This is clear if k is even because then $\alpha_k^{v(\Delta)-v(\Gamma)} \beta_k = \alpha_{k+1}^{v(i(\Delta))-v(i(\Gamma))} \beta_{k+1}$ and we even have equality. If k is odd one has to check that $\alpha_k^{v(\Delta)-v(\Gamma)} \beta_k \leq \alpha_{k+1}^{v(i(\Delta))-v(i(\Gamma))} \beta_{k+1}$. If we put $l := [k/2 + 1]$ and $n = v(\Delta) - v(\Gamma)$, then this amounts to checking the inequality $(\frac{2l+3}{l+1})^{l+1-n}(l+1)^2 \geq (\frac{2l+1}{l})^{l-n}l^2$, which is tedious but elementary. It follows that the parts S'_Δ for $k+1$ contain unions of parts S_Γ for k : $S'_\Delta \supset \cup_{\Gamma \in J} S_\Gamma$. We can now apply Theorem 4.2 to this union to get a filtration on $H^l(S'_\Delta)$ some of whose subquotients are isomorphic to $H^{l+2\text{codim}S'_\Delta-2\text{codim}S_\Gamma}(S_\Gamma)(-\text{codim}S'_\Delta + \text{codim}S_\Gamma)$ for $l < k$. We conclude that enlarging k amounts to taking the union of some parts.

Remark 4.4 Theorem 4.2 does not hold if we replace the partition by the stratification by topological type. To see this, let k be given and take $g > 5\alpha^{[k/2+1]+1}$. Take $n = 0$ for simplicity. Choose natural numbers $d > c > b > a > \alpha^{[k/2+1]+1}$ such that $a + b + c + d = g$. Consider the graph Γ which has five vertices, of weights $0, a, b, c, d$ and four edges, joining the weight 0 component to the other four. The automorphism group of the stable graph Γ clearly is trivial. One checks easily that Γ_W is the full subgraph on the weight 0 vertex, which implies that Γ defines the open stratum S_Γ^0 of a part S_Γ . The part S_Γ is obtained by letting the genus 0 curve degenerate in all possible ways. There are three possible degenerations, corresponding to the three possibilities of partitioning the four other vertices into two sets of two. So we have: $S_\Gamma^0 \cong N \times \overline{M}_0^4$ and $S_\Gamma \cong N \times \overline{M}_0^4$, where $N := M_a^1 \times M_b^1 \times M_c^1 \times M_d^1$. $\overline{M}_0^4$ is a $\mathbf{P}^1$ minus 3 points and $\overline{M}_0^4$ is $\mathbf{P}^1$. Thus $S_\Gamma = S_\Gamma^0 \cup \cup_1^3 N \times \text{point}$. We have $H^2(N \times \overline{M}_0^4) = H^2(N) \otimes H^0(\overline{M}_0^4) \oplus H^0(N) \otimes H^2(\overline{M}_0^4) = H^2(N) \oplus \mathbf{Q}$. Suppose Theorem 4.2 would hold with the partition replaced by the stratification by topological type. Then we would have, using the above: $H^2(N \times \overline{M}_0^4) = H^2(N \times M_0^4) \oplus \oplus_{i=1}^3 H^0(N \times \text{point}) = H^2(N) \oplus \mathbf{Q}^3$, which leads to a contradiction.

Corollary 4.5 *Given $k \geq 0$, then for $g > \beta$, $\overline{M}_g^n$ admits an orbifold partition which has M_g^n as its open part, is coarser than the stratification by topological type and filters cohomology up to degree k .*

Proof. Using Theorem 4.2 we get the statement with $\overline{M}_g^n$ replaced by S . The real codimension of the complement of S in $\overline{M}_g^n$ is $2[k/2 + 1] + 2 > k$, thus $H^l(\overline{M}_g^n) \cong H^l(S)$, for all $l < k$. (Compare the remark following the definition of a partition which filters cohomology in the introduction.) Combining these gives the desired result. $\square$

Corollary 4.6 *If $g \geq 2k + 1$, then $H^k(\overline{M}_g^n) \rightarrow H^k(M_g^n)$ is onto; consequently, the mixed Hodge structure on $H^k(M_g^n)$ is pure of weight k .*

Proof. By corollary 4.5, we have that $H^k(M_g^n)$ is a quotient of $H^k(\overline{M}_g^n)$, so the last statement holds for $g > \beta$. Now use that the image of $H^k(\overline{M}_g^n)$ in $H^k(M_g^n)$ is $W^k H^k(M_g^n)$, which equals $H^k(M_g^n)$ if $g \geq 2k + 1$. $\square$

Corollary 4.7 *If $g \gg 0$, then the cohomology of $\overline{M}_g^n$ is not of Tate type.*

Proof. We use the fact that the modular form Δ can be seen as a non vanishing holomorphic 11-form on $\overline{M}_1^{11}$ (see [Deligne]). Thus, $H^{11,0}(\overline{M}_1^{11})$ is not zero. Take g large enough and n arbitrary. One of the strata in corollary 4.5 is the following: the generic graph consists of two vertices, one of weight $g - 11$ and one of weight 1. There are 11 edges joining them and n loose half edges at the vertex of weight $g - 11$. Clearly, the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraph of this graph consists of the vertex of weight 1 with its 11 half edges. This graph is therefore in the image of the map ϕ and defines a generic point of a part of codimension 11. The open dense topological stratum in it is $M_{g-11}^{n+11} \times M_1^{11}$. The corresponding part is obtained by letting the genus 1 curve degenerate, thus it is $M_{g-11}^{n+11} \times \overline{M}_1^{11}$.

Consider the cohomology group $H^{22,11}(\overline{M}_g^n) \subset H^{33}(\overline{M}_g^n)$. By corollary 4.5 it has a subquotient $H^{11,0}(M_{g-11}^{n+11} \times \overline{M}_1^{11})$, which has as direct summand $H^0(M_{g-11}^{n+11}) \otimes H^{11,0}(\overline{M}_1^{11}) \neq 0$.

By considering different strata we find that other cohomology groups don't vanish either. For example: suppose $n \geq 10$ and take a graph consisting of two vertices, one of weight 1 and one of weight $g - 1$, joined by one edge. Furthermore the weight one vertex has 10 loose half edges and the other vertex $n - 10$. This defines the generic graph of a part of codimension 1. By the same argument as above, we see that $H^{12,1}(\overline{M}_g^n)$ is not zero. $\square$

Proposition 4.8 *There exists a “stable cohomology” of $\overline{M}_g^n$ for n fixed and $g \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Notation as before. Let k be given and suppose $g > \beta$. Let Γ be in the image of $\phi_k(g, n)$, that is, Γ defines a generic stratum of a part S . Choose a strong vertex P of Γ , let h be the weight of P . Consider the graph we get by changing h to $h + 1$. Call this graph Δ . Because the definition of Φ_k -weak subgraph does not depend on g , we see that Γ_W and Δ_W can be identified. This means that Δ is in the image of $\phi_k(g + 1, n)$ and therefore defines a part T in $\overline{M}_{g+1}^n$. Furthermore we get that $H^l(S) \cong H^l(T)$ for all $l < k$, because h is in the stable range with respect to k .

For every $x \in \mathbf{N}$ we define $\psi_x : \mathcal{G}_k(g + x, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_k(g + x + ([k/2 + 1] + 1)!, n)$ as follows: for any vertex P in Γ_S add $([k/2 + 1] + 1)!/\#\Gamma_S$ to its weight.

ψ clearly respects the parts and thus defines a map $\psi_x : I_k(g+x, n) \rightarrow I_k(g+x+([k/2+1]+1)!, n)$. Because the maximal Φ_k -weak subgraphs don't change, this map is an injection. Furthermore it induces isomorphisms on the cohomology of the parts, as explained above. So we get an injection induced by ψ_x :

$$H^l(M_{g+x}^n) \hookrightarrow H^l(M_{g+x+([\frac{1}{2}k+1]+1)!}^n),$$

for all $l < k$, which maps the subquotients isomorphically onto the corresponding subquotients. We claim that the inductive limit over these maps is independent of x . We will compare the inductive limits for 0 and $x > 0$. For any Γ in $Im(\phi_k(g, n))$ we choose one strong vertex P_Γ . Define $\chi_x(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{G}_k(g+x, n)$ by adding x to the weight of P . $\chi_x(\Gamma)$ is in the image of $\phi_k(g+x, n)$. Define $\overline{\chi}_x : Im(\phi_k(g+([k/2+1]+1)!, n)) \rightarrow Im(\phi_k(g+x+([k/2+1]+1)!, n))$ by adding x to the weight of the strong vertex $\psi_x(P_\Gamma)$. We clearly have $\overline{\chi}_x \circ \psi_0 = \psi_x \circ \chi_x$. Now we replace 0 by x and x by $([k/2+1]+1)!$. Playing the same trick and using that the inductive limits are canonically isomorphic if the indices differ by a multiple of $([k/2+1]+1)!$, we prove our claim. We define $H^l(\overline{M}_\infty^n)$ as this inductive limit. $\square$

One may regard this as the “stable cohomology” of $\overline{M}_g^n$ for $g \rightarrow \infty$. We note however that this stable cohomology is not finitely generated. For example, if $n = 0$, the stable generators in degree 2 are the tautological class, and the boundary classes naturally indexed by $0, 1, 2, \dots$. We note also that the part defined in Corollary 4.7 defines a part for every g sufficiently large; consequently the proof of Theorem 4.8 shows that even the stable cohomology of $\overline{M}_g^n$ for $g \rightarrow \infty$ is not of Tate type.

References

- [Deligne] P. Deligne, *Formes modulaires et représentations l -adiques*, Sémin. Bourbaki **355**, 21^e année (1968/1969), 139-172.
- [Harer1] J. Harer, *Stability of the homology of the mapping class groups of orientable surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **121** (1985), 215-249.
- [Harer2] J. Harer, *The cohomology of the moduli space of curves*, in Theory of moduli (E. Sernesi ed.), Monte Catini Terme, LNM **1337** (1985), 138-221.
- [Ivanov] N. V. Ivanov, *On the homology stability for Teichmüller modular groups: closed surfaces and twisted coefficients*, in: Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (C. F. Bödigheimer and R. M. Hain eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. **150**, AMS (1994), 149-194.

- [Looijenga] E. Looijenga, *Stable cohomology of the mapping class group with symplectic coefficients and of the universal Abel–Jacobi map*, preprint Utrecht, 1994.
- [Miller] E. Miller, *The homology of the mapping class group*, J. Diff. Geom. **24** (1986), 1-14.
- [Mumford] D. Mumford, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves*, in Arithmetic and geometry, M. Artin & J. Tate, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart (1983), 271-328.

Universiteit Utrecht, Mathematisch Instituut, Postbus 80.010, 3508 TA Utrecht, Nederland; e-mail: pikaart@math.ruu.nl